

7-16-2015

Differences in Handwritten and Typed Responses to Curriculum-Based Measurements in Written Expression (WE-CBM)

Megan L. Trapasso

Indiana University of Pennsylvania

Follow this and additional works at: <http://knowledge.library.iup.edu/etd>

Recommended Citation

Trapasso, Megan L., "Differences in Handwritten and Typed Responses to Curriculum-Based Measurements in Written Expression (WE-CBM)" (2015). *Theses and Dissertations (All)*. 497.

<http://knowledge.library.iup.edu/etd/497>

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by Knowledge Repository @ IUP. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations (All) by an authorized administrator of Knowledge Repository @ IUP. For more information, please contact cclouser@iup.edu, sara.parme@iup.edu.

STUDENT HAS RESTRICTED ACCESS TO FULL TEXT OF THE DISSERTATION.

ONLY COVER PAGES AND ABSTRACT ARE AVAILABLE AT THIS TIME

DIFFERENCES IN HANDWRITTEN AND TYPED RESPONSES TO
CURRICULUM-BASED MEASUREMENTS IN WRITTEN EXPRESSION (WE-CBM)

A Dissertation

Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies and Research

in Partial Fulfillment of the

Requirements for the Degree

Doctor of Education

Megan L. Trapasso

Indiana University of Pennsylvania

May 2015

© 2015 Megan L. Trapasso

All Rights Reserved

Indiana University of Pennsylvania
School of Graduate Studies and Research
Department of Educational and School Psychology

We hereby approve the dissertation of

Megan L. Trapasso

Candidate for the degree of Doctor of Education

12/18/2014

Signature on File

Timothy J. Runge, Ph.D.
Associate Professor of Educational and School
Psychology, Advisor

12/18/2014

Signature on File

William F. Barker, Ph.D.
Professor of Educational and School Psychology

12/18/2014

Signature on File

Joseph F. Kovaleski, D.Ed.
Professor of Educational and School Psychology

12/18/2014

Signature on File

Lynanne Black, Ph.D.
Associate Professor of Educational and School
Psychology

ACCEPTED

Signature on File

Timothy P. Mack, Ph.D.

Dean

School of Graduate Studies and Research

Title: Differences in Handwritten and Typed Responses to Curriculum-Based Measurements in Written Expression (WE-CBM)

Author: Megan L. Trapasso

Dissertation Chair: Dr. Timothy J. Runge

Dissertation Committee Members: Dr. William F. Barker
Dr. Joseph F. Kovalski
Dr. Lynanne Black

Curriculum-based measurements for written expression (WE-CBM) are timed writing samples provided by students that can be scored for various aspects of writing, such as fluency or accuracy. This study addresses the problem that educators do not yet know how results from WE-CBM samples composed by hand compare to those composed by typing. In addition, it contributes to the limited research regarding the best methods of administering WE-CBM.

This experimental design project compares two methods of producing text using WE-CBM metrics. Sixty-two fifth grade students composed two WE-CBM writing samples: one handwritten and one typed. These samples were scored for four of the most common outcome metrics using established WE-CBM procedures: total words written (TWW), correct writing sequences (CWS), percent of correct writing sequences (%CWS), and correct minus incorrect writing sequences (CIWS). In addition to method of producing text, examined variables included sex, keyboarding speed, and handwriting speed. Keyboarding and handwriting speed were measured by alphabet writing tasks and served as covariates. Pearson correlations were calculated to explore the relationships between all the variables. Analysis of variances with repeated measures (ANOVA-RM) and analysis of covariance with repeated measures (ANCOVA-RM) were conducted to determine if the method of producing text significantly affected student scores on the four WE-CBM metrics.

Typing and handwriting speed were moderately correlated. Fluency measures had weak to moderate correlations with typed and handwritten WE-CBM metrics. Most handwritten metrics were weakly to strongly intercorrelated, and most typed metrics were moderately to strongly intercorrelated. Handwritten and typed counterparts of three metrics were weakly to moderately correlated. ANOVA-RM and ANCOVA-RM analyses indicated that students earned higher TWW and CWS scores when typing, but similar CIWS and %CWS scores. There were no sex differences or interaction effects.

Teachers can use this information to design assessment to match instruction and use technology to facilitate the evaluation of student writing. Also, separate handwritten and typed norms will need to be created for some WE-CBM metrics, while typing fluency should continue to be valued as a necessary academic skill. This study should be replicated with different samples and different WE-CBM metrics.